

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN JOSE DIVISION
10 VINH HUU PHAM, et. al., CASE NO. 5:11-cv-01526 EJD
11 Plaintiff(s), ORDER CONTINUING PRELIMINARY
12 v. PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
13 CITY OF SAN JOSE, et. al.,
14 Defendant(s).

Having reviewed the parties Joint Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statement (see Docket Item No. 47), the court finds that a pretrial conference would be premature at this time. Accordingly, the Preliminary Pretrial Conference scheduled for March 22, 2013, is CONTINUED to **July 5, 2013, at 11:00 a.m.** The parties shall file an updated Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statement on or before **June 25, 2013.**

21 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 || Dated: March 14, 2013


EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge